

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/734,449	12/12/2003	Tai Kien	112,806	9679
7590 04/14/2004			EXAMINER	
William Nitkir	n	WALTON, GEORGE L		
850 Boylston Street, #424 Chestnut Hill, MA 02467			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chestilut IIII, 1	VIII 02107		3753	
		DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• *) ; • •		11.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/734,449	KIEN, TAI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	George L Walton	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
Applicant may not request that any objection	• · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either one of McCreary, McGovern et al or Danowski. In either one of McCreary, McGovern et al or Danowski, the claimed inflating and deflating of a balloon is readable on the expansion and contraction of an expandable sleeve or plug 18 of McCreary, an expandable sleeve or plug 26 of McGovern or an expandable sleeve or plug 12 of Danowski. The claimed water bypass tube is readable on elements 16 and 28 of McCreary, element 12 of McGovern or elements 18 and 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DuBois in view of either one of McCreary, McGovern or Danowski. The above claims are readable on the patent to Dubois with the single exception of having a water bypass inner tube that is used as a drain rather than a flushing passage. The patent to either one of McCreary, McGovern or Danowski teaches the single exception. In view of the teaching of either one of McCreary, McGovern or Danowski, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to utilize the inner tube 9 as a fluid bypass opposed to a flushing tube as taught by elements 16 and 28 of McCreary, element 12 of McGovern or elements 18 and 34, if desired. Such a modification would provide no unobvious or unexpected result. The claimed piston and cylinder with first and second cap ends is readable on element 5. It is obvious that element 5 has to have a pair of sealed ends on a portable hand pump.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is 703-308-2596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,449

Art Unit: 3753

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George L. Walton Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

GLW